



driving industry by technology

the collective centre of the Belgian technological industry



Evaluation of surface treatments for high pressure die casting dies

W.Lauwerens, R. Kastelein, P. Cosemans

i-SUP 2008 Conference, Bruges, April 23, 2008



the collective centre of the Belgian technological industry

Outline

- Introduction
 - High pressure die casting
 - Wear phenomena
- Experimental
 - Surface treatments
 - Sessile drop test
 - Cross sections: barrier properties
 - Pulling test (Ease-of-release)
- Industrial tests
 - Three cases
- Conclusions



3

Introduction

- High Pressure Die Casting
 - Aluminium 700 ⊕C
 - Zinc (Zamac) 420 ⊕C





product



die





Introduction

Die Wear (Aluminium)

- Different phenomena
- Also release problems
- High tooling costs
 → Wear can represent high costs





Die steel: hot working steel (DIN1.2343)

Heat checking



Introduction

- Start of a project "SURCAST"
 - Sirris
 - Industrial partners
 - Pedeo
 - MGG Antwerpen
 - Umicore/Nyrstar
 - Hayes Lemmerz
 - Objectives
 - Acquiring knowledge on wear and adhesion phenomena
 - How can surface treatments contribute in preventing wear
 - Improvement of the lifetime of dies and core pins to reduce production costs



- Selected surface treatments
 - Thermochemical treatments
 - Physical Vapour Deposited coatings
 - Chemical Vapour Deposite coatings
- Examples in literature indicate that these coatings can prevent wear

Treatment	Technology	Roughness (R _a , μm)	Thickness
QPQ	Salt bath nitriding + oxidizing	0.39	25 µm
TiN	PVD ion plating	0.22	3 µm
CrN	PVD ion plating	0.22	5 µm
CrAIN	PVD arc evaporation	0.19	2 µm
TiAIN	PVD arc evaporation	0.31	2 µm
CVD-TIN	Thermal CVD + polishing	0.08	8 µm
CVD-W	Thermal CVD	0.60	10 µm
Reference	uncoated	0.18	Ì



Sessile drop experiment: measuring wettability by contact angle

Controlled atmosphere Al at 700 ⊕C, Zn at 425 ⊕C Equilibration time of 30 minutes





Sessile drop results (highest contact angle: smallest wettability)





sirris

driving industry by technology

Cross sections of samples with Aluminium drop



• Pulling test: ease of release

- Conical pins with different substrate treatments
- Pins are casted (aluminium)
- Maximum pulling force (just before release) is noted
- Three pins per surface treatment





© sirris 2007 | www.sirris.be | info@sirris.be | 21/06/2007

- Results for the pulling force on release
 - Also indicated is roughness





- Clear correlation between pulling force and surface roughness
- No correlation between pulling force and contact angle
- Visual ranking based on amount of adhered aluminium correlates with contact angle (and hence wettability)





© sirris 2007 | www.sirris.be | info@sirris.be | 21/06/2007 13

- Core pins
 - Without coating: maximum 16.000 shots
 - With CrAIN coating: between 36.000 and 43.000 shots (to date)
 - Hence significant lifetime increase due to coating





Wear analysis CrAIN coated core pins





© sirris 2007 | www.sirris.be | info@sirris.be | 21/06/2007

Powercoupler

- Frequent rupture of the casting
- Treatment with CVD-TiN and CVD-W (both polished)
- No rupture to date





Left: CVD-W Right: CVD-TiN



- Die slide
 - Upper face is functional
 - Problem is adhesion of Aluminium
 - Testing
 - First test with PVD CrN: no improvement
 - Second test based on results of sessile drop QPQ treatment: significant less adhering







17

Conclusions

- In this work the performance of different surface treatments in dedicated laboratory tests and in high pressure die casting field tests was evaluated.
- It was found that the wettability behaviour as measured by the sessile drop test can indeed be used to select a suitable surface treatment to reduce adhesion of aluminium (soldering) on industrial dies.
- The lifetime of core pins is considerably increased by applying a coating, but when treating core pins surface roughness is important and decisive when high release forces are the problem.
- The examination of a cross section of the drop-substrate interface after the sessile drop test, is relevant for evaluating the corrosion protective properties of a coating or surface treatment.



18

Acknowledgements

- The authors gratefully acknowledge the collaboration and financial support of the industrial partners in this project, in particularly, P. D'Haeyer from Pedeo, C. Quaak from MGG Antwerpen, J. Vits from Hayes Lemmerz Belgium, and M. Gilles and A. Schoofs from Umicore RDI/Nyrstar.
- Flanders' DRIVE and in particular P. Theunissen are acknowledged for coordinating the project.
- We further thank Dr. L. Bordignon from the Centre for Research in Metallurgy (CRM, Liège) for carrying out the sessile drop wettability measurements.
- This work was also financially supported by IWT, the Institute for the Promotion of Innovation by Science and Technology in Flanders.

